

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/700,387	10/700,387 11/04/2003		Alex Lobovsky	H0001674D1 5821			
128	7590	07/07/2006		EXAMINER			
HONEYW		FIORITO, JAMES					
101 COLUN P O BOX 22		AD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MORRISTO	WN, NJ	07962-2245		1754			
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)						
	0.55 - 4 - 41 0	10/700,38	7	LOBOVSKY ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		James A. F		1754						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
·1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 04 November 20	003.							
, —	•	This action is no								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims									
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
- ,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen	• •									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	048)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date 11/4/2003.		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/700,387

Art Unit: 1754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species 3, Claims 1-5, and 7-8 in the reply filed on 6/5/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/5/2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Newman US 6299812.

Newman teaches a spun fiber made of 96% nanotubes having a diameter in the range of 0.66 to 0.9 nm in diameter (Column 2 and 3), wherein the fiber forms a winding on a mandrel (Figure 2 Item 58). Newman further teaches that the fiber can be formed into a woven article (Column 6, Lines 55-67).

Newman doesn't expressly state that the nanotubes are single-walled carbon nanotubes. However, the nanotubes having diameters within the range of 0.66 and 0.9 nm are inherently single-walled nanotubes. Therefore the nanotubes used in the fiber of Newman that have diameters between 0.66 and 0.9 nm are single-walled carbon nanotubes.

Newman doesn't expressly state that the fiber swells by less than about 10% in diameter when immersed in water. However, it appears that there is no difference between the fiber of Newman and the claimed invention. Further, the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/700,387

Art Unit: 1754

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Liu et al. ("Fullerene Pipes", Science, Vol. 280, May 1998).

Liu teaches single-wall fullerene nanotubes, wherein each nanotube is a pipe or fiber containing no binding agent or carbonaceous impurities (Page 1253).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Fiorito whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5771-272-1000.

James Fiorito
Patent Examiner

AU 1754

Steven Bos

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 5

AU 1754